

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE: ASBESTOS PRODUCTS	:	CONSOLIDATED UNDER
LIABILITY LITIGATION (NO. VI)	:	MDL DOCKET NO. 875

ANN BECKWITH, ADMINISTRATOR FOR	:	CIVIL ACTION
THE ESTATE OF ROBERT BECKWITH AND	:	
ANN BECKWITH PERSONALLY, ET AL	:	
	:	NO.: 90-cv-00216
Plaintiffs,	:	(U.S.D.C. CONNECTICUT)
v.	:	
	:	
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., ET AL.	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ANN BECKWITH, ADMINISTRATOR FOR	:	CIVIL ACTION
THE ESTAT OF ROBERT BECKWITH AND	:	
ANN BECKWITH PERSONALLY	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	NO.: 10-CV-78942
	:	(U.S.D.C. Pennsylvania Eastern)
v.	:	
	:	
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, ET AL.	:	Plaintiff Demands
	:	A Trial by Jury
Defendants	:	

SEVERED AND AMNEDED COMPLAINT

FIRST CLAIM ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF
ROBERT BECKWITH AND ANN BECKWITH PERSONALLY

FIRST COUNT BASED ON
NEGLIGENCE AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY
ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF ROBERT BECKWITH
FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, WRONGFUL DEATH AND DAMAGES

1. The Plaintiff, ANN BECKWITH, has been appointed Administrator of the Estate of ROBERT BECKWITH by the Court of Probate, District of Norwich, State of Connecticut. At all relevant times, ANN BECKWITH was the spouse of ROBERT BECKWITH.

2. The Decedent, ROBERT BECKWITH was employed as a welder at General Dynamics / Electric Boat Division, Groton, Connecticut from on or about 1957 to 1966, 1969, 1971 to 1972, 1976 to 1982, and 1986 to 1996. Mr. Beckwith was employed as a welder at Chicago Bridge & Iron from on or about 1971 to 1976. Mr. Beckwith also served in the United States Navy from on or about 1954 to 1957. Throughout his career, he worked in areas where he was exposed to asbestos.

3a. General Electric Company is a New York corporation which does business in the State of Connecticut with a principal place of business at 3135 Easton Turnpike, Fairfield, Connecticut 0643. Its agent for service is CT Corporation System, One Corporate Center, Floor 11, Hartford, CT 06103.

3b. Buffalo Pumps, Inc. n/k/a Air and Liquid Systems Corporation as successor-by-merger to Buffalo Pumps, Inc. is a foreign corporation which has done business in the State of Connecticut with its principal place of business at 874 Oliver Street, North Tonawanda, NY 14120-3298.

4. The Defendants listed in paragraphs 3a through 3b were either in the business of manufacturing, distributing and selling various products or they manufactured, distributed or sold products which they knew or should have known required or incorporated asbestos for use, operation or function or acted jointly with companies and corporations that were either in the business of manufacturing, distributing and selling various products or that manufactured, distributed or sold products which they knew or should have known required or incorporated asbestos for use, operation or function to withhold or prevent information from reaching the consumers and public. During the relevant periods of time, each corporation was doing business in the State of Connecticut.

5. During the Decedent's employment, he was exposed to the asbestos products or products containing, involving or requiring the use of asbestos of the Defendants. As part of his employment, he was forced to come in contact with asbestos fibers and dust coming from said asbestos products.

6. The illnesses and death of the Decedent are the proximate result of the negligence, recklessness and willfulness of the Defendants, jointly and severally, in that they produced, sold, distributed and otherwise put into the stream of interstate commerce, asbestos, asbestos related insulation materials, asbestos-containing products or products they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function which the Defendants knew, or in the exercise of ordinary care, should have known were deleterious, poisonous and highly harmful to the Decedent's body, lungs, respiratory system, skin and health. At all times said asbestos, asbestos related products, and asbestos required for the use, operation or function of their products was so intrinsically dangerous so as to necessarily expose users of the materials to probable injury and were ultra hazardous. The Defendants were aware or should have been aware that asbestos would be applied to their products or recommended or required that asbestos would be used on their products.

7. The disability, injuries, and illness and death of the Decedent are the direct and proximate result of the negligence, recklessness and willfulness of the Defendants, jointly and severally, in that, even though the Defendants knew, or, in the exercise or ordinary care, should have known, that the asbestos, asbestos-containing products and the asbestos required or recommended for or involved in use, operation or function of their products was deleterious, poisonous and highly harmful to the Decedent's body, lungs, respiratory system, skin and health, the Defendants nonetheless:

7a. Failed to advise the Decedent of the dangerous characteristics of their asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function, or for their products for which they recommended the use of the asbestos for insulation and other purposes,

7b. Failed or omitted to provide the Decedent with the knowledge as to what would be reasonably safe and sufficient wearing apparel and protective equipment and appliances to prevent him from being exposed to such deleterious and harmful asbestos related insulation materials, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function,

7c. Failed and omitted to place any warnings or sufficient warnings on their asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or

function to warn the handlers thereof of the dangers to health in coming in contact with said asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products requiring or involving asbestos and failed to warn of the risks and dangers associated with installation and removal of asbestos on their products,

7d. Failed and omitted to take reasonable precautions or to exercise reasonable care to publish, adopt and enforce a safety plan and a safe method of handling and installing said asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function,

7e. Inadequately warned, if, in fact, they warned at all, persons such as the Decedent of the dangers to their health from coming in contact with and breathing said asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and of the dangers involved in installing and removing asbestos from their products,

7f. Failed to warn of the dangers of asbestos to cigarette smokers including the synergistic relationship between cigarette smoking, asbestos exposure and cancer,

7g. Did not recommend methods to improve the work environment,

7h. Failed to properly test and investigate the safety of the asbestos Defendants were manufacturing, selling and distributing or requiring in the use, operation or function of their products or to develop alternative products,

7i. Failed to provide adequate safety instruction for persons who would reasonably and foreseeably come into contact with their products and the asbestos which would be used in the operation or function of their products,

7j. Failed to properly investigate and comply with reasonable standards and regulations relating to the health of those exposed to asbestos products,

7k. Failed to eliminate or reduce the amount of asbestos contained in their products and failed to take steps to reduce the amount of asbestos dust released into the air during the use and operation of their products,

7l. Continued to use or require use of a known cancer-causing product, to-wit: asbestos.

8. The Defendants intentionally misrepresented that their asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, or products they knew or should

have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function were reasonably safe for their intended use and fraudulently concealed information about them which fraudulent concealment caused Decedent injuries as stated herein.

9. The Defendants, as part of their business, manufactured, sold and delivered their asbestos products or products they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function into the stream of commerce in a defective, unsafe and inherently dangerous condition as described above, and the asbestos products were expected to and did reach such persons, as the Decedent, without substantial change in the condition in which they were sold.

10. At all relevant times, the asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and the asbestos which they knew or should have known would be installed or used for the operation or function of their products, were used and employed for the purpose for which they were manufactured, sold and intended to be used in a manner foreseeable to the Defendants.

11. The Defendants expressly or impliedly warranted that said asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function were of merchantable quality, fit and safe for the purpose for which they were manufactured, sold or used.

12. The Defendants breached the said warranties in that their asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function were not fit and safe for the purposes for which they were manufactured, sold and used so that they could not be used without extreme danger to those who breathed the dust coming from their products.

13. All or some of the Defendants became aware of the dangers of breathing asbestos before the Decedent was exposed to Defendants' asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function but they intentionally and fraudulently concealed the danger from the Decedent and the public or conspired to do the same and intentionally misrepresented the information they caused to be published concerning the dangers of asbestos.

14. Some or all of the Defendant corporations were aware or should have been aware of medical and scientific data, studies and reports since approximately 1929, which

information clearly indicated that asbestos and asbestos containing products were hazardous to the health and safety of the Decedent and other human beings.

15. The Defendants jointly, and each individually, have at all relevant times consistently failed to acknowledge, publish, or in any way advise of the studies and reports known throughout the industry since the 1920's, including studies conducted by or on behalf of various Defendants in the asbestos industry.

16. It was the continuing duty of the Defendants to advise and warn purchasers, consumers, users and those exposed to the products, and prior purchasers, consumers and users of all dangers, characteristics and defects discovered subsequently to their marketing or sale of their asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function, which duty the Defendants breached.

17. As a result of the acts of the Defendants as aforesaid and the Decedent's exposure to asbestos, asbestos related insulation products, asbestos-containing products, and products which they knew or should have known required or involved asbestos for use, operation or function that were manufactured, sold and distributed by the Defendants, Decedent ROBERT BECKWITH was diagnosed as suffering from asbestos-related disease consisting of mesothelioma, asbestos-related cancer, cancer, asbestos-related lung disease, lung disease and loss of lung function. He endured pain and mental anguish. His earning capacity was impaired and he was severely restricted in his usual activities. He was required to spend large sums of money for medical care and treatment. He suffered great anguish because of the impending nature of his premature death and the pain and anguish it would cause his family. As a consequence of his injuries, ROBERT BECKWITH died on or about December 20, 2007, and he and his estate were denied earnings and life's enjoyment and incurred expenses for medical care and treatment and for funeral bills for which just compensation is sought.

SECOND COUNT FOR EXEMPLARY OR PUNITIVE
DAMAGES ON BEHALF OF THE ESTATE OF ROBERT BECKWITH

18. Paragraphs 1 through 17 are hereby repeated and realleged as if fully set forth.

19. The injuries and damages were caused by the Defendants in that their actions constituted wanton, willful and malicious misconduct and demonstrated a reckless disregard for the consequences the Defendants knew or should have known would result.

20. The Defendants, after they learned or should have learned of the dangers of asbestos exposure, failed or refused to notify the Decedent of the dangers of his exposure and of the need for continuing medical surveillance and conspired to keep such knowledge from the public.

21. The Defendants, after they learned of some of the dangers of asbestos exposure after others became ill, failed to promptly act to protect the Decedent from the known danger of asbestos.

THIRD COUNT FOR LOSS OF CONSORTIUM
ON BEHALF OF ANN BECKWITH

22. Paragraphs 1 through 21 are hereby repeated and realleged as if fully set forth.

23. The illness and injuries suffered by the Decedent prior to death resulting from his exposure to asbestos products deprived the Decedent's spouse of comfort, support, service and consortium to which she is entitled.

24. As a result of the Decedent's exposure to asbestos products produced or supplied by Defendants and his resulting injuries and damages, the Decedent's spouse has been deprived of the comfort, support, and consortium to which she was entitled. Pursuant to C.G.S.A. 52-555a&b, the Decedent's death resulting from his asbestos-induced injuries has denied the Decedent's spouse the society, affection, moral support, service provided by, and companionship of the decedent resulting in injuries and damages to her.

25. The injuries and damages suffered by the Decedent's spouse were caused by the Defendants jointly and severally as aforesaid.

By MM08213_____
Melissa M. Olson, Esq.
Federal Bar No: CT 18813
Embry and Neusner
118 Poquonnock Road
Groton, CT 06340
(860) 449-0341
(860) 449-9070
molson@embryneusner.com

Wherefore the Plaintiff claims against the Defendants jointly and severally:

1. Money damages.
2. The amount in demand is greater than \$15,000.00
3. Punitive and exemplary damages.
4. Such other relief as the Court may deem proper.

Date at Groton this 15th day of October, 2010.

By_MM08213_____
Melissa M. Olson, Esq.
Federal Bar No: CT18813
Embry and Neusner
118 Poquonnock Road
Groton, CT 06340
(860) 449-0341
(860) 449-9070 (fax)
molson@embryneusner.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 15, 2010 a copy of the foregoing was served by United States first class mail, postage pre-paid. Notice of this filing will be sent by e-mail to all parties by operation of the court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated on the Notice of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing through the court's CM/ECF system.

Geoffrey L. Squitiero, Esq.
Maher & Murtha
528 Clinton Avenue
Bridgeport, CT 06605
Attorney for: Buffalo Pumps

Michael Simmons, Esq.
Bryna Rosen Misiura, Esq.
Governo Law Firm LLC
260 Franklin Street, 15th Floor
Boston, MA 02110
Attorney for: Buffalo Pumps

Dan Labelle, Esq.
Joshua M. Auxier, Esq.
Halloran & Sage
315 Post Road West
Westport, CT 06880
Attorney for: General Electric Co.

By MM08213_____
Melissa M. Olson, Esq.